

**William McKinney****Fwd: The Washington Post: How Scott Pruitt turned the EPA into one of Trump's most powerful tools****William McKinney**

Sat, Dec 30, 2017 at 3:11 PM

To: Munashe Magarira

Can you sniff around on this next week?

----- Forwarded message -----

From: William McKinney

Date: Sat, Dec 30, 2017 at 1:28 PM

Subject: Re: The Washington Post: How Scott Pruitt turned the EPA into one of Trump's most powerful tools

To: Ken Eudy

At first blush, my thinking is that the fifth amendment may be read a little more broadly than Jane states it in terms of domain authority. It's an interesting question though. What if 98% of the Pipeline goes to power supply and 2% exported? Or 22% exported? Seems like the dominant purpose would control for Fifth Amendment purposes.

Article was sent to you by the same person who went through the metal detectors at the Belk Bowl yesterday. Believe her husband Ashley is a Deacon. We did not stick around to see who was awarded the Jerry Richardson Cup for outstanding player after the game....Belk Bowl decided to stick to their guns, apparently.

On Sat, Dec 30, 2017 at 1:22 PM Ken Eudy wrote:

Don't know whether Jane has a legal point about the use of eminent domain to build a pipeline to export natural gas.

Ken Eudy

Ken Eudy

919.637.1962

Begin forwarded message:

From: Jane Flowers Finch <[REDACTED]>
Subject: The Washington Post: How Scott Pruitt turned the EPA into one of Trump's most powerful tools

Date: December 27, 2017 at 5:35:03 AM EST**To:** Ken Eudy

At the end of this article, They talk about Pruitt promoting the exporting of US natural gas. The gas cannot get to any port without the use of some Pipeline built with the use of eminent domain. To ship from the East Coast, the ACP would be the most likely avenue.

The Fifth Amendment of our US Constitution allows the taking of property only for the public use or benefit. This does not include foreign commerce such as the exporting of gas.

Once the ACP is in place and all the landowner's property is taken, what recourse, if any, will they have if the ACP is utilized to transport gas for export?

This is a very disturbing question. If gas needs are so great in NC, which is disputed, to require a Pipeline of this size, will the transport capacity be great enough to satisfy the alleged needs and allow room for transporting gas for export? Which raises the question, have they(ACP and partners) overstated their needs to get the pipeline?

Again, the veracity of the ACP and partners is of great concern.

12/18/2018

Gmail - Fwd: The Washington Post: How Scott Pruitt turned the EPA into one of Trump's most powerful tools

How Scott Pruitt turned the EPA into one of Trump's most powerful tools
The Washington Post

'He didn't pretend he was going to be Theodore Roosevelt,' one conservation leader says of Pruitt's industry-friendly approach. [Read the full story](#)

Shared from [Apple News](#)

Sent from my iPhone